



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/635,387	08/06/2003	Sev K. H. Keil	24491-0004001	1201
26171	7590	01/23/2009	EXAMINER	
FISH & RICHARDSON P.C. P.O. BOX 1022 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440-1022			ANDERSON, FOLASHADE	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
	3623			
NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE			
01/23/2009	ELECTRONIC			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

PATDOCTC@fr.com

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/635,387	Applicant(s) KEIL ET AL.
	Examiner FOLASHADE ANDERSON	Art Unit 3623

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) FOLASHADE ANDERSON (USPTO). (3) Andrew Foy (Applicant's Representative).

(2) Andre Boyce (USPTO). (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 13 January 2009.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 13.

Identification of prior art discussed: Johnston (US Patent 6,826,541) and McCullough (Trade Analysis: A Survey of Commercially Available Techniques, published 03/03/2000).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's Representative illustrated the asserted claimed novelty of the invention by providing a thorough explanation of figures 8-11. Applicant offered information pertaining to the newly amended portion of claim 13 and the Examiner explained that that the additional information would be taken in to consideration however a thorough reading and search of the prior art would be required.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Andre Boyce/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3623